An Additional Approach to Model Current Followers and Amplifiers with Electronically Controllable Parameters from Commercially Available ICs

R. Sotner¹, A. Kartci², J. Jerabek³, N. Herencsar³, T. Dostal¹,⁴, K. Vrba³

¹Dept. of Radio Electronics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communications, Brno University of Technology, Purkynova 118, 612 00, Brno, Czech Republic, sotner@feec.vutbr.cz
²Dept. of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Corlu Engineering Faculty, Namik Kemal University, Cekmekoy, Yolu, 3. km, 598 60 Corlu, Turkey, aslhankartc@gmail.com
³Dept. of Telecommunications, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communications, Brno University of Technology, Purkynova 118, 612 00, Brno, Czech Republic, {jerabek; herencsn; vrbak}@feec.vutbr.cz
⁴Dept. of Electronics and Computer Science, College of Polytechnics Jihlava, Tolsteho 16, 586 01, Jihlava, Czech Republic, dostal@vspj.cz

Several behavioral models of current active elements for experimental purposes are introduced in this paper. These models are based on commercially available devices. They are suitable for experimental tests of current- and mixed-mode filters, oscillators, and other circuits employing current-mode active elements frequently used in analog signal processing without necessity of on-chip fabrication of proper active element. Several methods of electronic control of intrinsic resistance in the proposed behavioral models are discussed. All predictions and theoretical assumptions are supported by simulations and experiments. This contribution helps to find a cheaper and more effective way to preliminary laboratory tests without expensive on-chip fabrication of special active elements.

Keywords: Intrinsic resistance, current and voltage gain control, current follower and amplifier, behavioral modeling

1. INTRODUCTION

Many works deal with specific applications of modern active elements [1]. Methods of intrinsic resistance adjusting (for example Fabre et al. [2], Siripruchyanun et al. [3]) by bias current in many novel and standard active elements are very popular. This kind of control was mainly the domain of current conveyors (CC-s) and its applications (Sedra et al. [4], Svoboda et al. [5]). However, this parameter (intrinsic resistance - labeled as \( R_i \)) is given by technological aspects and is dependent on temperature. Some designers consider this parameter as parasitic. It is correct in some cases, mainly in active elements where the \( R_i \) value is not adjustable [5]. In addition, this resistance is considered as small-signal parameter. Behavior of \( R_i \) for higher signal levels has nonlinear character.

In the last three decades considerable attention was given to the active elements with electronic possibility of current gain control (Surakampontorn et al. [6], Fabre et al. [7], Minaei et al. [8]). It seems to be a very interesting topic for researchers. Some novel types of current conveyors (Mahmound et al. [9], Kumnnerg et al. [10]), so-called current followers and nullors (Sedighi et al. [11], Tangsrirat [12], Tangsrirat et al. [13]), have been published quite recently. Alzaher et al. [14, 15] and Koton et al. [16] utilized digital control of current gain in their active elements and applications. Current gain control received important attention also in more complicated active elements formed by basic functional components, for example current differencing buffered amplifier (CDBA) presented by Biokel et al. in [17] and [18], programmable current amplifier (PCA) introduced by Herencsar et al. [19], current gain controlled current conveyor transconductance amplifier (CGCCCTA) discussed in [20], etc.

Some attempts to use several methods of control in frame of one active element have been solved in recent years. For example Marcellis et al. [21] proposed an approach to control current gain and voltage gain independently in frame of one active element. Kumnnerg et al. [22] proposed an interesting conception of current conveyor with intrinsic resistance and current gain controlling possibilities. Similar approach was used in [23], where both methods of control were used in the so-called double current controlled current feedback amplifier (DCC-CFA). Jaikla et al. [24] implemented the so-called current controlled current differencing transconductance amplifiers (CCCDTA-s) where intrinsic resistance and transconductance [1] adjusting is possible.

Discussed approaches have been widely investigated in recent years. However, all discussed elements and approaches require fabrication of designed internal implementation or we have to rely only on simulation results. Nevertheless, experimental verification is necessary or beneficial in many cases. It provides a more realistic view on behavior of the proposed application. Experimental tests with available devices are more reliable despite the accuracy and exactness of some simulation results. However, fabrication of on-chip implementation is very expensive and therefore not suitable for preliminary tests of application in the most cases. Accessibility of specialized models used for realistic modeling seems to be an advantage for designers. Practically, precise models of active elements with exactly defined and controllable intrinsic resistance complemented
by adjustable current gain are missing in available literature. Therefore in this paper we implemented and verified several conceptions of current followers and amplifiers. The first two solutions employ electronically controllable current conveyors (ECCII) and current gain control. The current gain \((B)\) is controllable by DC voltage. The second type utilizes voltage gain \((A)\) in voltage controllable voltage amplifier (VCA) and diamond transistor (DT).

2. MODEL OF CURRENT FOLLOWERS AND AMPLIFIERS WITH ADJUSTABLE FEATURES

General description and behavior of single-input and single-output (SISO) current follower (CF) with controllable intrinsic resistance \(R_i = f(V_{bi})\) or current amplifier (CA) with controllable intrinsic resistance and current gain \(k_i = f(V_{bi})\) is shown in Fig.1. 

Main reasons for the proposal of behavioral models are following:

a) This approach allows the design of application, experimental verification of features and optimization without fabrication of particular active element in phase of first tests, which is very economical.

b) There are not many ways in literature explaining how to model electronically controllable intrinsic resistance in real experimental tests without chip and fabrication.

c) Small-signal resistance \(R_i\) is suitable only for small-signal operation in many cases. Our solution provides large range of input signals without significant increase of total harmonic distortion (THD).

d) Key features of models are independent on technology and fabrication process (\(R_i\) parameter is defined by external components and control voltage). Only restrictions of gain control of active elements limit achievable \(R_i\) of the model. Many on-chip implementations have intrinsic resistance dependent on the technology used. This intrinsic resistance is controllable by bias voltage or current \([2, 3]\). Nevertheless, adjusting of these bias values has negative effect on other parameters (output resistance, linearity, dynamic,...). In addition, temperature dependence of \(R_t\) is obvious. One example is the equation \(R_t = V_t/2I_b\) (known for Sedra’s conveyor \([4]\) and in similar form for many other works \([2-3]\)) which is valid in BJT technology where \(V_t \approx 26 \text{ mV}\) is thermal voltage (temperature dependent), and \(I_b\) is bias current. In our models, intrinsic resistance is not directly defined by temperature-dependent parameter \((V_t)\). Therefore, in our case the dependence on fabrication technology is not so important.

A. Adjustable Current Followers and Amplifiers based on Controllable Current Gains

The first part of this work deals with utilization of a negative-type electronically controllable second generation current conveyor (ECCII-). Behavior of ECCII- is explained in Fig.2. The transfers between terminal voltages and currents are as follows (Surakampontorn et al. \([6]\); Minaei et al. \([8]\)):

\[
V_Y = V_X + V_R I_X, \quad I_Y = 0, \quad I_Z = -B I_X,
\]

where \(R_x\) is intrinsic resistance of ECCII- \([4, 5]\). \(R_x\) has fixed value in this case, therefore it is considered as parasitic element. There is no possibility to change the value of \(R_x\) electronically, except by bias current \([2, 3]\) in some integrated implementation, but it is not generally valid for all cases of CCIIs \([4, 5]\).

![Fig.1. Symbol of: a) the current follower with only input resistance control, b) the current amplifier with current gain and input resistance control.](image)

1) Solution Employing Two External Resistors

The possible conception of the inverting CF (CA) utilizing ECCII- and employing two resistors is depicted in Fig.3. The first section of the circuit in Fig.3 (without CC3) represents simple non-inverting CF with controllable intrinsic resistance (at \(p\) terminal). Note that this part could be used independently, because in some applications only CF is sufficient instead of the whole current amplifier. The input resistance is defined as \(R_{1} = R_1/B_1\). If the whole structure from Fig.3 is considered, overall current gain has the following form:

\[
k_i = -\frac{B_2 R_3}{R_1 R_2}.
\]

Assuming \(B_1 = B_2\) (adjusting of \(B_1\) only in order to change \(R_1\) value causes unintentional affection on \(k_i\) - therefore simultaneous control of both \(B)\) and \(R_1 = R_2\), the overall current gain in Eq. (1) simplifies to \(k_i = -B_1\). Effects of the non-ideal properties \((R_{s1}, R_{s2}, R_{s3})\) cause minor modification of adjustable intrinsic resistance:

\[
R_x = \left(\frac{R_1 + R_{s1}}{B_1}\right).
\]

Now, the current transfer including the discussed non-idealities has the following form:

\[
k_i = -\frac{B_2 B_3}{B_1} \left(\frac{R_1 + R_{s1}}{R_2 + R_{s2}}\right),
\]

where we have to ensure that \(R_1 = R_2\) and \(R_{1,2} \gg R_{s1,2}\), if no significant impact on gain is required. The output impedance (resistance) of CC2 has multiple times higher value than \(R_{s3}\).
One disadvantage of such approach is the necessity of simultaneous change of both current gains ($B_1$ and $B_2$) for $R_1$ control without impact on current gain.

2) **Solution Employing One External Resistor**

The next interesting type including ECCII- is shown in Fig.4a. This variant is also easily applicable as controlled negative resistor, if the outputs of CC1 and CC2 are swapped (see Fig.4b). The CC3 ($B_3$) is necessary only for current gain control. It is obvious that this model also works as CF with controllable control. It is obvious that this model also works as CF with controllable control without impact on current gain.

Simultaneous change of both $B_1$ and $B_2$ is also required for operation without influence on overall $k_i$. It is obvious that $R_i$ is more dependent on input resistances of partial CCs ($R_{x1,2}$) than in the previous case.

### B. Adjustable Current Amplifiers Based on Controllable Voltage Gains

Two interesting solutions are given in this part of our work. Main core of models consists of two voltage controllable amplifiers (VCA) and diamond transistor (DT). Their schematic symbols are shown in Fig.5. The voltage controllable amplifier with differential input and single output is defined by well-known equation: $V_{out} = (V_+ - V_-)A$, where $A = f(V_+)$. The principle of the diamond transistor is very similar to the second generation current conveyor [4, 5]. Transfers between terminal (E - current input, B - voltage input, C - current output) voltages and currents are ideally very similar to the CCII: $V_B = V_E$, $I_B = 0$, and $I_C = I_E$.

### Fig.3. Solution employing two external resistors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><img src="image" alt="Fig.3. Solution employing two external resistors." /></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Fig.4. Solution employing one external resistor: a) for positive $R_1$ value adjusting, b) for negative $R_1$ value adjusting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><img src="image" alt="Fig.4. Solution employing one external resistor: a) for positive $R_1$ value adjusting, b) for negative $R_1$ value adjusting." /></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Considering the $R_i$ of both CC1 and CC2, the input resistance can be expressed as:

$$R_i = \frac{(R_1 + R_{x1} + R_{x2})}{B_1}. \quad (4)$$

### Fig.5. Symbols of: a) voltage controllable amplifier, b) diamond transistor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><img src="image" alt="Fig.5. Symbols of: a) voltage controllable amplifier, b) diamond transistor." /></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Fig.6. Solution utilizing VCA and DT where $R_1$ could be adjusted to be: a) positive and negative, b) positive only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><img src="image" alt="Fig.6. Solution utilizing VCA and DT where $R_1$ could be adjusted to be: a) positive and negative, b) positive only." /></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Intrinsic resistance has positive character for \( A_1 < 1 \). The value of \( R_i \) increases if \( A_1 \) is nearly equal to 1. For higher values of \( A_1 \), the \( R_i \) value decreases and the whole input resistance is negative. However, the circuit is not stable for \( A_1 > 1 \). Overall current transfer of both solutions is given by:

\[ k_i = \frac{R_1}{R_2} A_2. \]

Equation (5) is valid for solution shown in Fig.6a and Eq. (6) for the solution in Fig.6b. The circuit in Fig.6a provides interesting features (curiosity) in electronic control of \( R_i \). Intrinsic resistance has positive character for \( A_1 < 1 \). The value of \( R_i \) increases if \( A_1 \) is nearly equal to 1. For higher values of \( A_1 \), the \( R_i \) value decreases and the whole input resistance is negative. However, the circuit is not stable for \( A_1 > 1 \). Overall current transfer of both solutions is given by:

\[ k_i = \frac{R_1}{R_2} A_2. \]

2) Solution Using Two VCA-s, one DT and Additional Voltage Feedback

Modifications of circuits from Fig.6 were obtained when additional feedback was used. Modified version is shown in Fig.7. In this case the inverting input of VCA \(_1\) is not grounded but connected to the output of VCA \(_1\). Presented modifications (Fig.7) provide following equations for \( R_i \):

\[ R_i = R_1 (1 + A_1), \quad R_i = R_1 (1 - A_1). \]

Equation (8) is valid for solution shown in Fig.7a and Eq. (9) for Fig.7b. Circuit in Fig.7a allows only increase of \( R_i \) values but solution in Fig.7b (interchanged terminals of VCA \(_1\)) allows similar type of control as the conception in Fig.6a. Unfortunately, the amplifier is then unstable. Current gain of the whole model is the same as in the previous case (7).

3. Verification and Practically Achievable Performances

All the above discussed models of CF (CA) were verified experimentally. An experimental board was designed for these purposes. Measuring setup for experimental verification was established. The voltage to current and current to voltage converters were necessary at the input and output of the device under test (DUT). The grounded resistance (\( R_{k2} \)) and voltage buffer was sufficient at the output, and voltage follower and resistor (\( R_{k1} \)) were required for input conversion, see Fig.8. We used very good high-speed voltage followers OPA633 [25] or BUF634 [26]. This equipment allows measurement with vector/spectral network analyzer (50 \( \Omega \) matching). The transfer function has to be recalculated from voltage transfer which is measured by vector-network analyzer Agilent E5071C.

Proper current transfers were recalculated from the following equations:

\[ I_{\text{inp}} = \frac{V_{\text{inp}}}{R_{k1} + R_i}, \quad I_{\text{out}} = \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{R_{k2}}, \]
\[ K_J = \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{V_{\text{inp}}} = \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{R_{k1} + R_i} = K_P \frac{R_{k1} + R_i}{R_{k1} + R_i}. \]

It is obvious that if \( R_{k1} = R_{k2} = R_k \), then Eq. (12) reduces to:

\[ K_J = K_P \left( 1 + \frac{R_k}{R_i} \right). \]

A. Adjustable Current Followers or Amplifiers Based on Controllable Current Gains

1) Solution Employing Two External Resistors

Solution shown in Fig.3 was built by three EL2082-s [27] (current mode multiplier) as ECCII-s. Current gain \( B \) is proportional to DC control voltage (and equal in range from 0 to 2 V). For current gain and intrinsic resistance the following relations are valid:

\[ k_i = -V_{ki} \frac{R_{k1} + R_i}{R_2 + R_{k2}}, \quad R_i = \frac{R_{k1} + R_i}{V_{ki}}. \]

Following values of passive elements: \( R_1 = R_2 = 220 \Omega \) (\( R_{k1} = 95 \Omega \) [27]) were selected. Experimental results are summarized in Fig.9 - Fig.12. Magnitude responses for
different values of current gain controlled by $V_{ki}$ ($B_2 \approx V_{ki}$) and dependence of current gain $k_i$ on control voltage $V_{ki}$ are shown in Fig. 9.

Fig. 9. Measured and simulated results: a) current gain magnitude response, b) dependence of current gain on control voltage.

Fig. 10. Experimental results: a) measured frequency dependence of intrinsic resistance, b) dependence of intrinsic resistance on control voltage.

Fig. 11. Experimental results for harmonics excitation (1 MHz): a) measured dynamical characteristics, b) THD dependence on input level.

Fig. 12. Measured DC characteristics: a) $I_{out}$ vs. $I_{inp}$, b) $V_{inp}$ vs. $I_{inp}$ for $R_i$ calculation.
Fig. 10 shows frequency dependence of the intrinsic resistance ($R_i$) and dependence of $R_i$ on control voltage $V_{Ri}$. These results were obtained by network analyzer. However, capacity of the coaxial cable influences overall value of parasitic capacitance ($p$) for higher values of $R_i$. Therefore, frequency bandwidth (flatness) of the $R_i$ is lower than in the case where input terminal ($p$) is directly connected to another analog system on printed circuit board. Nevertheless, results confirm workability of the proposed CA model.

Dynamical characteristics and dependence of total harmonic distortion (THD) on input current level (recalculated from voltage) for harmonic excitation (sine wave) are given in Fig. 11. THD seems to be maximally 0.2% for amplitude lower than 0.5 mA.

Static DC characteristics of CA and dependence of $V_{inp}$ on $I_{inp}$ for $R_i$ calculation from DC values (traces for two $R_i$ values are depicted) are shown in Fig. 12.

2) Solution Employing One External Resistor

Current mode multipliers EL2082 were used also for the solution depicted in Fig. 4a ($R_1 = 100 \Omega$, $R_{x1,2} = 95 \Omega$ [27]). Equations for current gain $k_i$ and intrinsic resistance ($R_i$) have forms:

$$k_i \equiv V_{ki}, \quad R_i \equiv \frac{R_1 + R_{x1} + R_{x2}}{V_{Ri}}. \quad (16), (17)$$

The current gain $k_i$ is not dependent and influenced by $R_1$ or both parasitic intrinsic resistances of ECCII-s ($R_{x1,2}$), which is an important advantage in comparison to the previous case. Nevertheless, we have to consider $R_{x1,2}$ which increase resulting $R_i$ twice more in comparison with the previous solution. Results are presented in Fig. 13 - Fig. 16.

B. Adjustable Current Amplifiers Based on Controllable Voltage Gains

1) Solution Using Two VCA-s and One DT

Solution employing voltage controllable (voltage mode) amplifiers (VCA-s) and diamond transistor is better from the electronic control point-of-view. Main advantage is that no matching condition is necessary. External resistors have values $R_1 = R_2 = 100 \Omega$. Two voltage controllable amplifiers VCA810 [28] and one diamond transistor OPA860 [29] were used in the solution depicted in Fig. 6a. Following formulas for $k_i$ and $R_i$ are valid in accordance to [28]:

$$k_i \equiv -\frac{R_1}{R_2} 10^{-2(V_{ki}+1)}, \quad R_i \equiv \frac{R_1}{1-10^{-2(V_{ki}+1)}}. \quad (18), (19)$$

Fig. 13. Measured and simulated results: a) current gain magnitude response, b) dependence of current gain on control voltage.

Fig. 14. Experimental results: a) measured frequency dependence of intrinsic resistance, b) dependence of intrinsic resistance on control voltage.
Fig. 15. Experimental results for harmonics excitation (1 MHz): a) measured dynamical characteristics, b) THD dependence on input level.

Fig. 16. Measured DC characteristics: a) $I_{out}$ vs. $I_{inp}$, b) $V_{inp}$ vs. $I_{inp}$ for $R_i$ calculation.

Fig. 17. Measured and simulated results: a) current gain magnitude response, b) dependence of current gain on control voltage.

Fig. 18. Experimental results: a) measured frequency dependence of intrinsic resistance, b) dependence of intrinsic resistance on control voltage.
Results are shown in Fig.17 - Fig.20. Change of the resistance $R_i$ is documented in Fig.18. The value increases till $V_{Ri}$ achieves value close to 1 V. If $V_{Ri}$ gets over 1 V, resistance decreases and it has negative character.

2) Solution Using Two VCAs, One DT and Additional Voltage Feedback

The last presented solution (Fig.7a) was also built with VCA810 and OPA860 and specific equation valid for $R_i$ of this type of CA has the form:
Fig. 23. Experimental results for harmonics excitation: a) measured dynamical characteristics, b) THD dependence on input level.

\[ R_i \equiv R_i \left(1 + 10^{-2(V_i + 1)}\right). \]  

The current gain \( k_i \) has the same form as (18). Results are presented in Fig.21 - Fig.24.

Fig. 24. Measured DC characteristics: a) \( I_{\text{out}} \) vs. \( I_{\text{inp}} \), b) \( V_{\text{out}} \) vs. \( V_{\text{inp}} \) for \( R_i \) calculation.

### Table 1. Comparison of main features of proposed solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Fig. 3</th>
<th>Fig. 4a</th>
<th>Fig. 6a</th>
<th>Fig. 7a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. passive elements</td>
<td>2 grounded</td>
<td>1 floating</td>
<td>2 floating</td>
<td>2 floating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. active elements</td>
<td>3 ECCII-s</td>
<td>3 ECCII-s</td>
<td>2 VCA, DT</td>
<td>2 VCA, DT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching of parameters required</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{f}_{\text{GBW}} ) [MHz]</td>
<td>100-140</td>
<td>70-110</td>
<td>35-45</td>
<td>25-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_i ) [( \Omega )]</td>
<td>0.1-2.7</td>
<td>0.1-2.8</td>
<td>0.1-5.6</td>
<td>0.1-4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_i ) [V]</td>
<td>2.7-0.1</td>
<td>2.7-0.13</td>
<td>0.11-0.71</td>
<td>0.16-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of ( k_i ) control</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>exponential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of ( R_i ) control</td>
<td>hyperbolic</td>
<td>hyperbolic/</td>
<td>hyperbolic/</td>
<td>exponential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Comparison of Proposed Solutions

All presented and measured solutions are compared in Table 1. Number of active and passive elements, necessity of matching of parameters (for example \( B_1 = B_2 \) in Fig.3 and Fig.4a), gain bandwidth (-3 dB), range of \( k_i \), input resistance \( R_i \) and type of control are the main attributes of the comparison. The solution from Fig.3 requires three ECCII-s and two grounded resistors for adjusting of \( k_i \) and \( R_i \). The solution from Fig.4a provides the same benefits, but it consists of only one resistor. Frequency features and range of control of both important parameters (\( R_i \), \( k_i \)) for selected values (\( R_1 \), \( R_2 \)) are similar. The most important drawback of the first solution is influence of intrinsic resistances of ECCII (\( R_{x1,2} \)) and external resistors \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) on \( k_i \). This drawback was removed in model of CA from Fig.4a, where only one resistor is required. The worst disadvantage is the necessity of matching \( B_1 = B_2 \) for control of \( R_i \) without influence on \( k_i \) in both solutions. Solutions with VCA-s and DT-s from Fig.6a and Fig.7a solve this problem and no matching condition is required. However, the gain-bandwidth is lower, because VCA810 has lower GBW (30 MHz only [28]).

4. CONCLUSION

We designed and analyzed different varieties of circuit solutions that model current follower (or amplifier) and showed their performances. These circuits could be used as simulation models and mainly experimental (laboratory) models in development of applications with current active elements. They are useful in cases when we do not have any possibilities to fabricate current amplifiers or followers in specific applications like current-mode and mixed-mode filters, oscillators, sensor technique [30-31] (mainly in current-mode), and other circuits in complex systems (for example [32]) and in the first phase of tests (verification of expected behavior). Beyond using powerful simulation programs it allows to reveal important problems in the design without expensive fabrication of chip. Therefore, the presented approaches offer an easy way how to verify the proposed application using special active element with discussed features in a very simple and low-cost solution.
Because EL2082 multiplier is classified as an obsolete part, two further approaches based on voltage controllable voltage amplifiers and diamond transistors (these parts are easily accessible) were tested and they provided similar benefits. We hope that the presented approaches will be helpful for design, modeling and experiments employing novel types of active elements, which are using the discussed methods of control ($k_0$, $R$). Several application examples of such elements were given in [33].
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