

Detection of Screw Threads in Computed Tomography 3D Density Fields

S. Kosarevsky¹ and V. Latypov²

¹ Faculty of Technology, Institute of Mechanical-Engineering, Saint-Petersburg State Polytechnical University, Saint-Petersburg, Russia, kosarevsky@linderdaum.com

² Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Control Processes, Saint-Petersburg State University, Saint-Petersburg, Russia

Measurements and inspection in production must be rapid, robust and automated. In this paper a new method is proposed to automatically detect screw threads in 3D density fields obtained from computed tomography measurement devices. The described method can be used to automate many operations during screw thread inspection process and drastically reduce operator's influence on the measurement process resulting in lower measurement times and increased repeatability.

Keywords: Screw thread, CT, computed tomography, feature extraction.

1. INTRODUCTION

MANY types of coordinate measurement systems are widely used to inspect parameters of metric screw threads [1]. Screw thread measurement using coordinate measuring machines (CMM) is a tedious process which requires high operator's skill. CMM measurements usually acquire a limited set of points suitable for a feature-based inspection, however not enough for an in-depth analysis and assesment of a screw pair fit. This limitation is crucial while dealing with screw threads for mission critical applications (petrochemical industry, heavy engineering industry et al.). Nowadays, as a result of well-established international practice, the complex inspection of screw threads is performed using thread gauges. Gauges are subject to wear and tear and require regular replacement and inspection which yields expenses. Our method can be used to perform complex inspection of the thread without involving any gauges.

In this paper a new method is proposed, allowing to perform feature-based inspection and complex in-depth analysis of screw threads using the data acquired from computed tomography (CT) measuring devices. Experimental part of this work was done using X-ray CT scanner Metrotom 1500 by Carl Zeiss IMT GmbH ($MPE_E = 9 + \frac{L}{50} \mu m$).

2. RELATED WORK

Threaded and plain gauges are widely used for screw thread inspection during recent decades. They allow complex inspection of threaded fits [1]. Gauges are expensive inspection instrument since they are subject to wear and the whole inspection procedure can be long-lasting for large diameter threads (M150 and above). Thread gauges that are proved to be out-of-range by direct measurements can be fit with good master gauges. This situation is typical but gauges and direct measurements are not mutually exclusive methods. Considering the NPL experience [1] one can use both master gauges and direct measurements to do an elementwise inspection of the thread gauges. First, one should measure pitch, pitch di-

ameter and inner/outer diameters of the thread. Thereupon gauges should be applied to assist the direct measurements. Elementwise inspection is more accurate and can yield numerical results (instead of simple go/no-go answer). The point is direct elementwise measurements do not evaluate the whole surface of the thread therefore form deviations can be overlooked. Gauges can prevent this kind of errors even after elementwise measurements succeeded.

Gauges are unable to separate pitch and diameter deviations. In addition, pitch errors can be hidden by increased pitch diameter. It is traditionally considered practical to use gauges for small threads. As described in NPL notes [1] the primary reason for this discrepancy between gauges and direct measurements is thread form deviations.

Nowadays, a lot of elementwise thread assessment methods have evolved. Their majority is based on coordinate measurement devices and numeric evaluation of the results. There is a significant work towards the improvement of thread inspection. In [2] the methods of position and form measurement is proposed based virtual gauges. Carmignato and Chiffre [3] proposed a screw inspection method with a special needle-like probe fitted on a coordinate measuring machine. In [4] authors perform optical inspection of damaged screw threads using CCD camera. Many techniques usually involve different shape analysis algorithms from the field of computer vision and pattern recognition. Robertson and Fisher [5] experimented with 3D scanners and their application to large thread measurement. They examined that it is possible to extract parameters of screw threads from 3D scanned data. However they deal only with inner and outer radii of the thread. In the proceedings [6] a method is presented to perform an elementwise inspection of internal threads using laser sensor and CCD camera. Kosarevsky and Latypov [7] used Hough transformation to extract features from planar sections obtained via profile measuring machines. The mathematics behind these image recognition techniques can be found in [8].

In [9] a working system is proposed capable of automatic

internal thread inspection. It is based on industrial endoscope and computer vision algorithms. However, the main purpose of this system is to detect surface defects and not its geometrical properties. An in-depth overview of classical thread inspection methods is provided in NPL Notes on Screw Gauges [1]. Current element-wise thread inspection methods are mainly based on the work of G.Berndt [10].

Application of high resolution computer tomography (CT) in dimensional metrology has grown popular during the recent years. It has moved from qualitative assessment of workpieces in non-destructive tests to a precise measurement instrument [11].

The accuracy of spatial measurements in these tasks is highly dependent on the geometry and material workpieces. A lot of numeric compensation algorithms are used to achieve the highest possible accuracy and reduce different artifacts of CT scanning.

Traceability of coordinate measurements obtained from computed tomography devices is ensured via special calibration gadgets and procedures. Nowadays these methods received ISO certification. Modern software can extract geometrical features from measured density fields and evaluate their parameters according to ISO norms. To assess the accuracy of CT systems (besides calibration) sets of reference workpieces are used. These workpieces are calibrated on high-precision CMMs and results are compared to the CT data. Recent experiments on GE Sensing & Inspection Technologies (Germany) CT devices show [12] the deviation of results for distances and diameters to be within $6 \mu m$. Carl Zeiss Metrotom 800 X-ray CT scanners can achieve values of $MPE_E = 4.5 + 0.01L \mu m$ [13].

3. ANALYSIS ON 3D DENSITY FIELD

In this paper, a method of planar sections is used to numerically assess the quality of screw threads. It allows to reduce the problem of 3D shapes recognition to the finite number of 2D recognition problems. Our method operates on a bundle of planes that contain thread axis. The principal symmetry axis of the CT-scan is considered to be the thread axis. Performing image recognition in each plane a numeric evaluation of thread parameters can be obtained. The planar evaluation algorithm was presented in [7]. Using the obtained results one can assess the quality of the measured thread.

Let $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) : \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be the material density distribution inside the object measured by a CT device and let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the domain where function f is defined. Let us consider a bundle of planes P_α that share a common point $r = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$ ("mass center" of the measured object) as "distinguished" planar sections of the thread. Components r are evaluated using the equation (1):

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{D}} x_i f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3}{\int_{\mathcal{D}} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2. \quad (1)$$

The thread axis is the common axis of planes P_α which is the principal symmetry axis of the scanned object. Symmetry




Fig. 1: Planar section passing through the axis of M5 thread.

axes are approximated with the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix (2):

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{200} & \mu_{110} & \mu_{101} \\ \mu_{110} & \mu_{020} & \mu_{011} \\ \mu_{101} & \mu_{011} & \mu_{002} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mu_{ijk} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} W_{ijk} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3, \quad (3)$$

$$W_{ijk} = (x_1 - \bar{x}_1)^i (x_2 - \bar{x}_2)^j (x_3 - \bar{x}_3)^k. \quad (4)$$

The plane P_α , passing through the axis of the internal M5 thread, is shown in Fig. 1 with the section of the object.

4. REPRESENTATION OF A SCREW THREAD

In [14] and [15] Nicolson et al. proposed a model to represent a basic profile of the metric screw surface. Their model is based on piecewise functions and is effective at finding contact surfaces. In this work, a method more suitable for thread recognition is used.

Consider the family of helicoidal surfaces (5):



$$\begin{cases} x &= D^{-1}w(D + |u|H) \sin(Rv + \pi u) \\ y &= D^{-1}w(D + |u|H) \cos(Rv + \pi u) \\ z &= v \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

parameterized by $u \in [-1; 1]$, $v \in [0; V]$ and $w \in [0; +\infty)$.

Assumption $w = D$ yields the surface in Fig. 2:

The transform $(x; y; z) \rightarrow (u; v; w)$ can be used to check if some point $(x; y; z)$ belongs to the surface $w = D$. From (5) it follows that $v = z$. Also, $x^2 + y^2 = D^{-1}w^2 (D + |u|H)^2$ and $x/y = \tan(Rv + \pi u)$, which gives

$$\begin{cases} u &= \pi^{-1} (\arctan(y^{-1}x) - Rz) \\ v &= z \\ w &= D(D + |u|H)^{-1} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$


 Fig. 2: Surface $w = D$.

 Fig. 3: Section of the surface $w = D$ at $x = 0$.

It is easy to see that planar sections of the surface $w = D$ are piecewise linear. Projection on Oyz plane yields $x = 0$, that is $\sin(Rv + \pi u) = 0$ or

$$\begin{cases} Rv + \pi u = \pi k, u = \pi^{-1}(\pi k - Rv), \\ y = (-1)^k w D^{-1}(D + |u|H), \\ z = v, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and u is selected from the $[-1; 1]$ segment by the appropriate choice of the k value.

A bundle of coordinate surfaces intersecting the plane $x = 0$ is shown in Fig. 3.

Basic profile [16] of the metric screw thread (Fig.4) is defined by the pitch P , the height of generating triangle H and the nominal diameter D .

According to [16] the values are:

$$c = \frac{1}{8}H \quad D_1 = D - 2 \cdot \frac{5}{8}H \quad D_2 = D - 2 \cdot \frac{3}{8}H \quad (8)$$

For a metric screw thread the profile angle is $\alpha = 60^\circ$, so that $\tan \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{P}{2H}$ and equations (8) yield:




Fig. 4: Basic profile of ISO metric screw thread [16].

$$c = \frac{P}{16 \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad D_1 = D - \frac{5}{8} \frac{P}{\tan \frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad D_2 = D - \frac{3}{8} \frac{P}{\tan \frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad (9)$$

Any longitudinal planar section of the nominal screw thread is the planar section of the surface Fig. 3, truncated on both sides according to the parameter c .

5. ASSESSMENT OF SCREW THREAD PARAMETERS

The overall workflow of our algorithm is as follows:

- 1. Acquire scalar 3D density field.** Authors used Carl Zeiss Metrotom 1500 X-Ray CT scanner to acquire data. Also, several sets of generated data were used to perform an in-depth data analysis and prove the correctness of our implementation.
- 2. Perform feature extraction.** Apply the thresholding filter. Non-zero values correspond to in-material points. Fig. 5 shows the thresholding result for the scanned external M5 thread with a threshold value of 0.48. The actual range of interest is specified manually.
- 3. Establish thread axis.** Calculate covariance matrix using the equation (2) and determine its eigenvectors. One of the eigen vectors defines as approximate thread axis, which is a common line for all of the 2D cross section planes.
- 4. Extract isosurface.** Apply the three-dimensional Sobel filter to determine the points of thread surface. The result of 3D Sobel operator is shown in Fig. 6.
- 5. Generate planar sections.** Fix an arbitrary plane containing the center of mass of the volume and previously determined thread axis. Rotate this plane using a number of uniformly distributed angles in the $0 \dots 2\pi$ range. Clusterize points into buckets corresponding to the closest plane. Every single point can get into a bucket only once.




Fig. 5: M5 screw thread density field after the thresholding filter application.




Fig. 7: Autogenerated screw thread model.




Fig. 6: Results of 3D Sobel operator application to the region of density field.

6. **Process each planar section (bucket) as described in [7].** Straight lines representing flanks of the thread are obtained via Hough transformation. Sort obtained lines and find intersection points. Intersection points closest to the profile average line are used to fit circles into screw thread grooves. Fit circles into the grooves. Radii of the circles are chosen so that circles contact the profile close to the pitch diameter, as in the three-wires method.
7. **Evaluate thread pitch and pitch diameter.** Distances between the fitted circles are used for assessment of screw thread parameters in every planar section.

The described procedure can be applied not only to density fields, but also to 3D point clouds. In that case segmentation is already done and one can continue from step 3, using the coordinates of separate points.

6. EXPERIMENTS AND AUTOGENERATED MODELS

The algorithm was validated by processing a number of computer-generated volumes corresponding to some screw threads with specified parameters. The generated volume data is an 1024^3 8-bit three-dimensional array of samples which is essentially a quantized graph of the χ_S function corresponding to the screw thread. To estimate the precision of the algorithm the generated volume data is corrupted with gaussian noise.

Table 1: Numeric results

Thread	Pitch (min.max.), mm	Pitch dev., mm	std.
External thread M5	$0.97 \div 1.01$	0.02	
Internal thread M5	$0.91 \div 1.07$	0.06	
Artificial model	$1.00 \div 1.00$	≈ 0.00	

The sample of the generated screw thread model is presented in Fig. 7.

Two aluminum samples with metric screw threads were scanned using Carl Zeiss Metrotom 1500 CT X-ray scanner: internal and external M5 ISO screw threads. Numeric results of screw thread pitch evaluation using 36 planar sections are presented in the Table 1.

Significant value of standard deviation for internal thread is due to thicker material and size of the workpiece compared to the external thread workpiece. Standard deviation for our artificial model was below 0.001 mm. However, probability distribution and dependence on the input data need to be assessed more carefully.

Our software was implemented in C++ using Minpack [17] optimization library and KHT Sandbox (<https://sourceforge.net/projects/khtsandbox>) [18], the reference implementation of the kernel-based Hough transform for detecting straight lines in binary images. It allows a software implementation to achieve real-time performance even on relatively large images. Fast evaluation of $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ gradients was done in a GLSL shader.

Direct evaluation of moments μ_{ijk} using the formula (4) (necessary to establish thread axis), can take significant time at higher resolution. However, in this paper the problem is not addressed. In case where material density corresponds to

a metric screw thread¹ special optimizations methods can be used [19].

Eigenvectors of a covariance matrix are calculated using Jacobi eigenvalue algorithm [20].

Presented images were rendered using Linderdaum Engine and Linderdaum Volume Rendering Library <http://www.linderdaum.com>.

7. FUTURE WORK

Future work needs to be undertaken to assess the measurement uncertainty of the proposed algorithm and how noises in the input data and their filtering affect the results. Measurement uncertainty can be determined via Monte-Carlo trials according to [21] and [22].

It is important to mention that finding values of parameters P and D so that the virtual gauge will fit into the measured thread without intersection will enable direct evaluation of virtual pitch diameter of the thread.

8. CONCLUSIONS

One of the main advantages of the proposed method is that the result is not just a binary “go/not-go“, but contains additional numeric data which can be evaluated to determine the exact thread features with deviations. It is important for further technological decisions concerning the production of threaded parts.

One of the main flaws of the proposed method is the scanning speed of CT equipment. It is required up to one hour of machine time to inspect a single workpiece. However, other types of surface scanning devices (i.e. laser scanning of external threads) can yield improved performance and provide usable 3D point clouds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors would like to acknowledge the funding assistance of the OPTEC company (representative of Carl Zeiss in Russia) and would like to thank Wolfgang Schwarz from Carl Zeiss IMT and Peter Hoyer from Carl Zeiss 3D Metrology Services for provided machine time with a Metrotom 1500 CT-scanner and valuable technical assistance.

This work was supported by the grants OPTEC — Carl Zeiss 2010 and OPTEC 2012. Presented images were rendered using Linderdaum Engine and Linderdaum Volume Rendering Library <http://www.linderdaum.com>.

REFERENCES

- [1] National Physical Laboratory. (2010). *NPL notes on screw gauges*. <http://www.npl.co.uk/science+-technology/dimensional/dimensional-measurements/npl-notes-on-screw-gauges>.
- [2] Ikononov, P., Okamoto, H., Tanaka, F., Kishinami, T. (1995). Inspection method for geometrical tolerance using virtual gauges. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 21-27 May 1995. IEEE, Vol. 1, 550–555.
- [3] Carmignato, S., De Chiffre, L. (2003). A new method for thread calibration on coordinate measuring machines. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, 52 (1) 447–450.
- [4] He, F., Zhang, R., Du, Z., Cui, X. (2006). Non-contact measurement of damaged external tapered thread based on linear array CCD. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 48, 676–680.
- [5] Robertson, C., Fisher, R. (2001). Shape recovery and analysis on large screw threads. In *Third International Conference on 3-D Digital Imaging and Modelling*, 28 May - 1 June 2001. IEEE, 300–305.
- [6] Katz, R., Zhang, H., Hong, E. (2009). *Internal thread measurement*. NSF Engineering Research Center for Reconfigurable Manufacturing Systems, University of Michigan.
- [7] Kosarevsky, S., Latypov, V. (2010). Development of an algorithm to detect screw threads in planar point clouds. *Measurement Science Review*, 10 (4), 136–141.
- [8] Princen, J., Illingworth, J., Kittler, J. (1992). A formal definition of the hough transform: Properties and relationships. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 1 (1), 153–168.
- [9] Perng, D., Chen, S., Chang, Y. (2010). A novel internal thread defect auto-inspection system. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 47 (5-8), 731–743.
- [10] Berndt, G. (1940). Die Anlagekorrekturen bei der Bestimmung des Flankendurchmessers von symmetrischen und unsymmetrischen Aussen- und Innengewinden nach der Dreidrahtmethode oder mittels zweier Kugeln. *Zeitschrift für Instrumentenkunde*, 60.
- [11] Kruth, J., Bartscher, M., Carmignato, S., Schmitt, R., De Chiffre, R., Weckenmann, A. (2011). Computed tomography for dimensional metrology. *CIRP Annals d'z' Manufacturing Technology*, 60 (2), 821–842.
- [12] Luebbehusen, J. (2009). Comparison between 3D metrology results gained with conventional CMM and high resolution x-ray tomography. In *Metromet : 10th International Conference on Industrial Dimensional Metrology*, 27-27 March 2009.
- [13] Benninger, R. (2009). Metrotom 800 computer tomograph. *Innovation SPECIAL Metrology*, 11, 6–7.
- [14] Nicolson, E., Fearing, R. (1991). Dynamic simulation of a part-mating problem: Threaded fastener insertion. In *IEEE/RSJ International Workshop on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS d'z'91)*, 3-5 November 1991. IEEE, Vol. 1, 30–37.

¹Segmented volume contains only binary 0 and 1 without any intermediate values.

- [15] Nicolson, E., Fearing, R. (1993). Compliant control of threaded fastener insertion. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 2-6 May 1993. IEEE, Vol.1, 484–490.
- [16] International Organization for Standardization. (1998). *ISO general purpose screw threads – Basic profile – Part 1: Metric screw threads*. ISO 68-1:1998.
- [17] Netlib. (1999). Minpack library, University of Chicago. <http://www.netlib.org/minpack>.
- [18] Fernandes, L., Oliveira, M. (2008). Real-time line detection through an improved hough transform voting scheme. *Pattern Recognition*, 41, 299–314.
- [19] Flusser, J., Suk, T. (1993). Pattern recognition by affine moment invariants. *Pattern Recognition*, 26, 167–174.
- [20] Flannery, B., Teukolsky, S., Vetterling, W. (2002). *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing*, Second edition. Cambridge University Press.
- [21] BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAC and OIML. (1995). *Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement*, Second edition.
- [22] Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology. (2008). *Evaluation of measurement data – Supplement 1 to the Guide to the expression of Uncertainty in Measurement – Propagation of distributions using Monte Carlo method*, First edition. JCGM 101:2008.

Received March 25, 2013 .
Accepted November 22, 2013.